

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
VALDOSTA DIVISION**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ISMIL RODRIGUEZ-AYBAR,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

**CASE NO:
7-23-cr-15-WLS-TQL-2**

ORDER

Before the Court is an Unopposed Motion to Appoint Interpreter (Doc. 62) (“Motion”) submitted by Defendant Ismil Rodriguez-Aybar filed April 10, 2024. Therein, Defendant Rodriguez-Aybar requests the Court to appoint an interpreter for him because a review of discovery shows that there has been a difference between the interpretation made by an agent in the interview and interpretation that was provided to Counsel during his meeting with the Defendant. (*Id.*) Therefore, Defendant needs the interview between the agent and the Defendant to be fully translated and transcribed. (*Id.*) Additionally, Counsel states that if the matter proceeds to trial, there will be a need for an interpreter to live translate the recorded interview to a jury. (*Id.*) It is unclear from the Motion whether the interpreter is needed to assist counsel and Defendant Rodriguez-Aybar during trial, whether the interpreter would be generally used by both Parties for jury purposes, or whether the interpreter would be used for other purposes.

During a pretrial conference held April 16, 2024, with respect to Defendant’s co-defendant Jose Luis Pena-Ferreras, Counsel for Defendant Rodriguez-Aybar was present and discussed the proposed use of the interpreter and advised the Court that an amended Motion would be filed on behalf of Defendant Rodriguez-Aybar. To date the amended Motion has not been filed.

Accordingly, on or before Monday April 29, 2024, Defendant Rodriguez-Aybar is **ORDERED** to file an amended Motion to Appoint Interpreter or to advise the Court if he wishes to proceed on the current Motion (Doc. 62).

SO ORDERED, this 22nd day of April 2024.

/s/ W. Louis Sands

W. LOUIS SANDS, SR. JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT